BEST AVAILABLE COPY



UNITED STATES JEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. C

08/974,545

11/19/97

FARMER

2207/4641

EXAMINER MM51/0412 JOHN C ALTMILLER LEA EDMONDS, L KENYON AND KENYON **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 1025 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW WASHINGTON DC 20036 2835

DATE MAILED: /12/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 08/974,545 Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary

Christopher B. Farmer

Examiner

Lisa Lea-Edmonds

Group Art Unit 2835



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 19, 1997	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	to the control of the
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u>	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 1-18	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☒ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved 🗆 disapproved.
\square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority up	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of t	the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb	
received in this national stage application from the Ir	
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	2)
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	5)
☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
·	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	E FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 08/974,545 Page 2

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 5 recites the limitation "case" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Sangveraphunsiri. With respect to claims 16 and 17, Sangveraphunsiri discloses the method steps as claimed (see for example figures 21 and 22).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 08/974,545 Page 3

Art Unit: 2835

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-5 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sangveraphunsiri in view of Hayakawa et al.. With respect to claims 1-4 and 8, Sangveraphunsiri discloses a computer system with a processor cartridge (520) having an edge connector (546), a processor (108), a motherboard connector (548') to be mounted on a motherboard (104), a lock (see for example figure 15), and guides to restrain the processor (see for example figures 21 and 22). However, Sangveraphunsiri lacks a clear teaching of the guide rails and guide slots structure and the motherboard being connected to the edge connector in a parallel orientation as claimed. Hayakawa et al. teaches a mounting board unit having a motherboard (1-1) with a connector (1-2) being connected in a parallel orientation to the edge connector (2-3) of a processor board (2-1), and guide rails, guide slots, and the motherboard being connected to the edge connector in a parallel orientation as claimed (see for example any of figures 1-5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Hayakawa et al. into the apparatus of Sangveraphunsiri to protect the connectors from damage do to misalignment. With respect to claim 5, both Sangveraphunsiri and Hayakawa et al. teach a case having an interior side and an exterior side, however neither teaches the processor being visible from the exterior side. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the processor visible from an external side to allow the user and/or the repair person to select the correct model processor prior to repair. With respect to

Application/Control Number: 08/974,545

Page 4

Art Unit: 2835

claims 9-11, Sangveraphunsiri teaches a processor cartridge having a lock (572) and a plate (510) covering the slot, however, Sangveraphunsiri lacks the lock being a teeth lock or a spring lock and the plate being clear. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any of the well known means to secure the cartridge processor into the computer to prevent theft and also to provide the computer with a clear slot cover to allow the user to view the cartridge processor.

- 7. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Sangveraphunsiri in view of Hayakawa et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of
 Feightner et al.. With respect to claims 6 and 7, Sangveraphunsiri in view of Hayakawa et al.
 teaches the invention as claimed in claim 1, however Sangveraphunsiri in view of Hayakawa et al.
 lacks the processor and/or the motherboard having a heat sink. Feightner et al. teaches a heat
 sink support being connected to the processor via the motherboard (see for example column 3
 lines 20-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the
 invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Feightner et al. into the apparatus of
 Sangveraphunsiri in view of Hayakawa et al. to provide the processor and/or motherboard with a
 heat sink as it is a well known devices use to remove heat.
- 8. Claims 12-15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sangveraphunsiri in view of Hayakawa et al. and further in view of Freer et al.. With respect to claims 12-15, Sangveraphunsiri discloses a computer system with a processor cartridge (520) having an edge connector (546), a processor (108), a motherboard connector (548') to be

Application/Control Number: 08/974,545

Page 5

Art Unit: 2835

mounted on a motherboard (104), a lock (see for example figure 15), and guides to restrain the processor (see for example figures 21 and 22). However, Sangveraphunsiri lacks a clear teaching of the guide rails and guide slots structure and the motherboard being connected to the edge connector in a parallel orientation and a motherboard having a receiving slot for receiving a processor as claimed. Hayakawa et al. teaches a mounting board unit having a motherboard (1-1) with a connector (1-2) being connected in a parallel orientation to the edge connector (2-3) of a processor board (2-1), and guide rails, guide slots, and the motherboard being connected to the edge connector in a parallel orientation as claimed (see for example any of figures 1-5). Freer et al. teaches a motherboard having a receiving slot for receiving a processor (see for example any of figures 4-6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Hayakawa et al. and Freer et al. into the apparatus of Sangveraphunsiri to protect the motherboard and processor connectors from damage do to misalignment. With respect to claim 18, the apparatus of Sangveraphunsiri as modified by the teachings of Hayakawa et al. and Freer et al. would inherently teach the method claims as claimed.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the apparatuses of Howe, Rife et al. and Chengson et al..

Art Unit: 2835

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa Lea-Edmonds whose telephone number is (703) 305-0265. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, L. Feild, can be reached on (703) 308-2710. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3431,32

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-1782.

LYNN D. FEILD PRIMARY EXAMINER

April 5 1999